

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,568	12/31/2003	Don J. Nguyen	42P17639	5721	
8791	590 11/17/2006		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			KAPLAN,	KAPLAN, HAL IRA	
	2400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD EVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,568	NGUYEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hal I. Kaplan	2836
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MADE THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months af earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed 2a) This action is FINAL . 2 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal matt	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,5-16,18-20 and 24-31 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar. 5) Claim(s) 14 and 30 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-13,15,16,18-20,24-29 ar. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 September Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	re withdrawn from consideration. and 31 is/are rejected. tion and/or election requirement. Examiner. a 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar the correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of the copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certifi	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/750,568

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities: In Figure 4, it appears that the power rail labeled 270 should be labeled 240 (see page 6, line 10 and Figures 2-3).
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "250" has been used to designate both the unregulated voltage and the regulated voltage in Figure 4. It appears the regulated voltage should be labeled "260" (see page 6, lines 8-9 and Figures 2-3). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The indicated allowability of claims 4, 17, and 23 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Freeman and Pinder. Rejections based on the cited reference(s) follow.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 5, 10-13, 15, 16, 18-20, 27-29, and 31 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the US patent of Freeman et al. (6,650,089).

As to claims 1 and 20, Freeman, drawn to a control circuit for multiple battery systems with capacity gauge on end equipment, discloses a mobile computer (see column 3, lines 25-27); and a power apparatus for the mobile computer, said apparatus comprising: an input power port (DC); a power rail (22); a battery unit (18,20); and a system charger voltage regulator (VR) (26) to couple the input power port (DC) to the battery unit (18,20), and the battery unit (18,20) to couple with the system charger VR (26) and the power rail (22), wherein the system charger VR (26) provides a regulated voltage signal when a power source is coupled to the input power port (DC), the regulated voltage signal to simultaneously power both the power rail (22) and the battery unit, wherein the battery unit (18,20) provides a battery voltage signal to power the power rail (22) when no power source is coupled to the input power port (DC) (see column 3, lines 39-42 and 62-65; column 4, lines 4-6 and 11-19; and Figure 1). It is inherent that the regulated voltage signal and the battery voltage signal have a common upper bound and a common lower bound because if the power is not maintained within a specific voltage range then the laptop will not operate.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,568

Art Unit: 2836

As to claim 16, the battery unit (18,20) is to provide a battery voltage signal to power the power rail (22) when no power source is coupled to the input power port (DC) (see column 4, lines 16-19).

As to claims 5 and 18, the power source comprises a voltage from an AC/DC converter (see column 4, lines 1-6).

As to claims 10 and 27, the power rail (22) extends beyond the battery unit (18) to couple the system charger VR (26) to the battery unit (18,20) (see Figure 1).

As to claims 11 and 28, the battery unit (18,20) comprises a battery pack port to receive a battery pack (18) (see column 3, lines 39-42).

As to claim 12, the battery unit (18,20) further comprises a switch (20) to selectively couple the battery pack port to the power rail (22) (see column 3, lines 39-44).

As to claims 13 and 29, if the battery pack (18) is coupled to the battery pack port, the switch (20) is to couple the battery pack port to the power rail (22) for either powering the power rail (22) or charging the battery pack (18) (see column 4, lines 8-19).

As to claims 15 and 31, the battery unit (18,20) comprises a plurality of battery pack ports, each to receive a battery pack (18) (see column 3, lines 39-42).

As to claim 19, providing the regulated voltage signal to power the battery unit (18,20) comprises recharging a discharged battery (18) in the battery unit (18,20) (see column 4, lines 11-15).

Art Unit: 2836

6. Claims 1, 5-7, 10-13, 16, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the US patent of Pinder (5,789,098).

As to claim 1, Pinder, drawn to a method of detecting external voltage source for disablement of battery conservation mode in a portable communications device, discloses an apparatus comprising: an input power port (110); a power rail (output to power switch); a battery unit (100,120); and a system charger voltage regulator (VR) to couple the input power port (110) to the battery unit (100,120), the battery unit (100,120) to couple with the system charger VR and the power rail, wherein the system charger VR provides a regulated voltage signal when a power source is coupled to the input power port (110), the regulated voltage signal to simultaneously power both the power rail and the battery unit (110,120), wherein the battery unit (110,120) provides a battery voltage signal to power the power rail when no power source is coupled to the input power port (DC) (see column 2, lines 57-63 and Figure 3). It is inherent that the regulated voltage signal and the battery voltage signal have a common upper bound and a common lower bound because if the power is not maintained within a specific voltage range then the laptop will not operate.

As to claim 16, the battery unit (100,120) is to provide a battery voltage signal to power the power rail when no power source is coupled to the input power port (110) (see column 2, lines 59-62).

As to claims 5 and 18, the power source comprises a voltage from an external battery (external DC source) (see column 2, lines 57-59).

As to claim 6, the battery unit (100,120) comprises a battery pack port to couple to the system charger VR and to receive a battery pack (100) (see Figure 3); and a power source selector (120) to selectively couple either the system charger VR to the power rail or the battery pack port to the power rail (see column 2, lines 59-63).

As to claim 7, the system charger is to power the battery pack port to recharge a discharged battery (100) coupled to the battery pack port (see column 2, lines 62-63).

As to claim 10, the power rail extends beyond the battery unit (100,120) to couple the system charger VR to the battery unit (see column 2, lines 62-63).

As to claim 11, the battery unit (100,120) comprises a battery pack port to receive a battery pack (see Figure 3).

As to claim 12, the battery unit (100,120) further comprises a switch (120) to selectively couple the battery pack port to the power rail (see column 2, lines 59-62).

As to claim 13, if the battery pack (100) is coupled to the battery pack port, the switch (120) is to couple the battery back port to the power rail for either powering the power rail or charging the battery pack (100) (see column 2, lines 59-63).

As to claim 19, providing the regulated voltage signal to power the battery unit (100,120) comprises recharging a discharged battery (100) in the battery unit (100,120) (see column 2, lines 62-63).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/750,568 Page 7

Art Unit: 2836

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinder.

As to claims 8 and 15, Pinder discloses all of the claimed features, as set forth above, except for a plurality of battery pack ports. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to connect multiple batteries to the device of Pinder, because it has been held that mere duplication of parts has no

Art Unit: 2836

patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced (*In re Harza*, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)). See MPEP §2144.04(VI)(B).

11. Claims 9 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinder in view of Freeman.

As to claim 9, Pinder discloses all of the claimed features, as set forth above, except for a battery charging selector. Freeman discloses a battery charging selector (20) to couple between the system charger VR (26) and each of the plurality of battery pack ports, the battery charging selector (20) to selectively couple any one of the plurality of battery pack ports to the system charger VR (see column 3, lines 39-42 and column 4, lines 52-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to build the device of Pinder with multiple batteries selectively coupled to the system charger VR, in order to allow the device to run for a longer period of time when there is no main power supply by increasing battery redundancy.

As to claim 24, Freeman discloses a mobile computer (see column 3, lines 25-27).

As to claims 25 and 26, Pinder in view of Freeman disclose all of the claimed features, as set forth above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 14 and 30 allowed.
- The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:Claims 14 and 30 are allowed because none of the prior art of record discloses

Application/Control Number: 10/750,568 Page 9

Art Unit: 2836

or suggests a battery pack comprising a switch control port to receive a switch control signal from the battery pack port, in combination with the remaining claimed features.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal I. Kaplan whose telephone number is 571-272-8587. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000